

Iyevbele went to the jail on August 12 and 13, 2010 to collect Plaintiff's DNA and what occurred when he did so, including statements Plaintiff allegedly made to Detective Iyevbele regarding the possibility of cooperating in order to "work off his charges." The Court has reviewed the document submitted to the Court and compared it to a document that Plaintiff attached to his Complaint at pages 16 and 17. The Court finds that the two reports are identical except for the notation at the top of the report submitted to the Court indicating that the report is confidential. Therefore, Plaintiff's Motion for an Order Compelling Discovery (Doc. No. 35) is DENIED. Defendant shall not be required to produce the report to the Plaintiff because the Plaintiff already has a copy of the document at issue. (Doc. No. 1 at 16-17).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for an Order Compelling Discovery (Doc. No. 35) with respect to document request number 10 is DENIED.

Signed: October 7, 2011



Robert J. Conrad, Jr.
Chief United States District Judge

